

# Template for Local Authority Report

to

## The Schools Adjudicator

from

### **North Somerset Local Authority**

to be provided by

31 October 2024

Report Cleared by: Name Sally Varley

Job Title: Head of Strategic Place Planning & Capital

**Projects** 

**Telephone number 07917 587280** 

Email: sally.varley@n-somerset.gov.uk

Date submitted:

By: Name Stephanie Hyde

Job Title Interim Pupil Places and Planning Manager

**Telephone number01275 884853** 

Email: stephanie.hyde@n-somerset.gov.uk

Website: Office of the Schools Adjudicator

Please email your completed report to: Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2024 and earlier if possible

### Contents

#### Introduction

## Guidance on completing the template

Sec	ction 1 - Normal points of admission	5
A.	Co-ordination	5
B.	Looked after and previously looked after children	5
C.	Special educational needs and/or disabilities	6
0 -		_
Sec	ction 2 - In-year admissions	/
A.	Overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions	7
В.	Looked after children and previously looked after children	7
C.	Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities	8
D.	Fair access protocol	8
E.	Directions to maintained schools to admit children	10
F.	Other points on in-year admissions	11
Sed	ction 3 - Other matters	12
Sec	ction 4 - Feedback	12

#### Introduction

- 1. Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in the annual report to the Secretary of State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.30 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other matters. Paragraphs 6 and 3.30 of the Code require that each local authority publish its report locally.
- 2. This year's report must cover the 2023/2024 academic year and be submitted to the Office of the Schools Adjudicator (OSA) by 31 October 2024.
- 3. Please note that, in response to feedback on the previous template and in the light of consultation with a group of local authorities, changes have been made to various sections of this year's template.

#### Guidance on completing the template

- 4. We have included all the guidance on completing specific parts of the template in this section. There is no requirement for local authorities to include the introduction and the guidance in their published reports, but they are free to do so if they wish.
- 5. We should be grateful if in completing questions which ask for information about primary and secondary schools and/or pupils, local authorities would follow the approach to classification of schools used in statutory provisions and in the Department for Education Statistical First Release<sup>1</sup> and the Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002<sup>2</sup>.
- 6. The Code sets out some minimum requirements on the contents of each local authority report stating that each must cover as a minimum "information about how admission arrangements in the area of the local authority serve the interests of looked after children and previously looked after children, children with disabilities and children with special educational needs, including any details of where problems have arisen."
- 7. The Department for Education's aim through the annual reports from local authorities is to understand how well the admissions system is working nationally, rather than to hold individual local authorities to account. By understanding the effectiveness of the system, including outcomes, the Department hopes to identify areas where the admissions system is working well and areas where it could be improved. With that in mind, when the template asks, "how well does the admission system serve the needs of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>Department for Education Statistical First Release</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002

children," the Department appreciates that it is asking local authorities to make a subjective judgement, in the understanding that everyone's experience with the admission system will be different. The Department encourages local authorities in responding to the open questions and spaces for open comments to set out challenges that are proving difficult to overcome.

- 8. Guidance on specific questions and/or meaning of specific terms in this report:
  - a. "in-year admissions": This means admissions (that is children admitted to a school and not applications for places):
    - i. to a year group which is not a normal point of entry for the school concerned (for example to Year 2 for a five to eleven primary school); and
    - ii. after the end of the statutory waiting list period (31 December) to a year group which is a normal year of admission for the schools concerned (such as Year R and Year 7).
  - b. Not applicable means that there were no children falling within the relevant definition.
- 9. We welcome all comments that local authorities make in the comment boxes and we aim to reflect those comments in the Annual Report, but we ask for the comments to be entered under the right headings. Section 3 invites comment on any other matters not specifically addressed in this template if local authorities wish to do so. The views expressed in previous years also remain a matter of public record.
- 10. We ask that where possible, you return the template in Word instead of PDF formatting. A number of you have commented on the formatting of the template and we have tried to make it as accessible as possible, but we are aware that some local authorities use different versions of Word.
- 11. Where questions request a comparison with the previous year, any new local authorities formed as a result of reorganisation should note this on the form.

## Information requested

## **Section 1 - Normal points of admission**

#### A. Co-ordination

Which of the following best describes the level of challenge for your main admissions round in 23/24 compared to 22/23?

Year	Much less	Less	No	More	Much more
Group	challenging	challenging	change	challenging	challenging
Reception			X		
Year 7			Х		
Other			Х		
relevant					
years of					
entry					

Plea	ase give	e examples to illustrate your answer if you wish:
В.	Lool	ked after and previously looked after children
	i.	How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of looked after children at <b>normal points of admission</b> ?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
	ii.	How well do the admissions systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of children looked after by your local authority at normal points of admission?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
	iii.	How well does your admissions system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your

area at normal points of admission?

	□ Not at all □ Not well ⊠ Well □ Very well □ Not applicable				
ÌV.	How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of previously looked after children at <b>normal points of admission</b> ?				
	$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable				
which exen	u wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties nplify your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and looked after children at <b>normal points of admission</b> :				
previously guardiansh exception i previously	For most schools in the North Somerset Council area, looked after children and previously looked after children who are subject to adoption or special guardianship order, are given priority in the oversubscription criteria. The only exception is our three Catholic schools who place non-Catholic looked after and previously looked after children after all other Catholic criteria. This is in line with their Trust requirements.				
	ren have would be allocated places over number as permitted exceptions size limits.				
C. Spec	cial educational needs and/or disabilities				
disal	well served are children with special educational needs and/or bilities who have an education, health and care plan that names a school brmal points of admission?				
disal	well served are children with special educational needs and/or bilities who <b>have</b> an education, health and care plan that names a school				
disal	well served are children with special educational needs and/or pilities who have an education, health and care plan that names a school prmal points of admission?				
disal at <b>no</b> Please pro	well served are children with special educational needs and/or pilities who have an education, health and care plan that names a school prmal points of admission?				
Please pro special edu	well served are children with special educational needs and/or children who have an education, health and care plan that names a school crmal points of admission?  □ Not at all □ Not well □ Well □ Very well □ Not applicable  wide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with				
Please pro special edu As per the Plan (EHC) We are implicated to the second educated educated to the second educated ed	well served are children with special educational needs and/or children who have an education, health and care plan that names a school ormal points of admission?  Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable wide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with a cational needs and/or disabilities at normal points of admission:  School Admissions Code, any child with an Education, Health and Care				

## **Section 2 - In-year admissions**

## A. Which of the following best describes the overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions in 23/24 compared to 22/23?

Phase	Much less challenging	Less challenging	No change	More challenging	Much more challenging
Primary			Х		
Secondary			Х		

-		please explain the factors that have changed the level of challenge for admissions:
В.	Looke	ed after children and previously looked after children
	i.	How well does the <b>in-year admission</b> system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area?
		☐ Not at all ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Not applicable
	ii.	How well does the <b>in-year admission</b> systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
	iii.	How well does the <b>in-year admission</b> system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area?
		☐ Not at all ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Not applicable
	iv.	How well does your <b>in-year admission</b> system serve the interests of previously looked after children?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable

which sup	ou wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties port or exemplify your answers about <b>in-year admissions</b> for looked previously looked after children:
	s Authorities in North Somerset would typically admit a looked after child they were able to accommodate them.
C. Childre	en with special educational needs and/or disabilities
i.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who <b>have</b> an education, health and care plan that names a school when they need to be <b>admitted in-year</b> ?
	$\square$ Not at all well $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
ii.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who <b>do not have</b> an education, health and care plan when they need to be <b>admitted in-year</b> ?
	$\square$ Not at all well $\square$ Not well $\boxtimes$ Well $\square$ Very well $\square$ Do not know
support or e	se give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about <b>in-year admissions</b> for children with cational needs and/or disabilities:
	School Admissions Code, any child with an Education, Health and Care n priority at a school that has been named in their plan.
for children Education <del>l</del>	erset schools do not include a criterion in their over subscription criteria with disabilities and/or special educational needs who do not have an Health and Care Plan. These children are allocated places in the same other child, including use of the Fair Access Protocol, where
educational	een increasing queries from schools where a child with special needs who does not have an education health care plan has been ace in-year, then stating that they are unable to meet need.

## D. Fair access protocol

What proportion of the state-funded mainstream schools in your area have said that they agree to the local authority fair access protocol?

#### **Primary**

Between 0% and 49%	
Between 50% and 74%	′o □
Between 75% and 89%	′o □
Between 90% and 99%	6 ⊠
100%	
Secondary	
Between 0% and 49%	
Between 50% and 74%	6 □
Between 75% and 89%	ó □
Between 90% and 99%	6 ⊠
100%	
If you have below 75% for either ph	nase, please explain why:

i. How many children were admitted to schools in your area under the fair access protocol between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024?

Type of school	Number of Primary aged children admitted	Number of Secondary aged children admitted
Community and voluntary controlled	0	0
Foundation, voluntary aided and academies	1	22
Total	1	22

ii. If you have seen a change in the number of children referred to your Fair Access Protocol between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024 compared to the previous academic year please indicate what you consider the key reasons for this change to be?

The numbers of applications considered under the FAP has doubled for secondary places since 2022/23 from 11 to 22. Some of the reasons for this have been:

 We had an increase of asylum seekers in North Somerset where placements were made using the Fair Access Protocol.

The overall number is also a reflection of how many permanent exclusions there have been across the year.
<ul> <li>iii. How well do you consider children referred to the Fair Access Protocol are served in in your area?</li> <li>□ Not at all well □ Not well □ Well ☒ Very well □ Not applicable</li> </ul>
iv. Please provide any comments you wish on the protocol not covered above:  The majority of schools in North Somerset are Academy schools. The Local Authority is therefore reliant on these own-admission authorities agreeing to admit children considered under the Fair Access Protocol. If a school refuses to do so, this causes a delay in placing some of our most complex and vulnerable students. Often parents will change their school preference(s) to ensure their child is not out of education.
If the LA, as the facilitator, could be granted powers of direction for Fair Access cases to all schools and academies, this would mean that pupils would miss less full-time education, and their re-integration could be administered more quickly and effectively and serve hard to place children "Very Well".
Additionally, being able to identify the relevant vulnerable children is exceptionally difficult when admission authorities are not allowed to ask questions on the CAF which would enable vulnerable children to be identified. The LA is also reliant on school that process their own in year applications to notify the LA of all applications and their outcomes.

### E. Directions to maintained schools to admit children<sup>3</sup>

How many directions did the local authority make between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024 to maintained schools for which the local authority is not the admission authority to admit children (including children looked after by the local authority but resident in another area)?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is important that only Directions to maintained schools are included here. Numbers of Directions to academies are already held by the Department.

Total number of children	Of which, looked after	Of which, not looked after
0	0	0

## F. Other points on in-year admissions

	•
i.	For the schools for which the local authority co-ordinates in-year applications, in the year between 1 Aug 2023 and 31 July 2024 did you receive
	<ul> <li>Significantly fewer applications than last year</li> <li>□ slightly fewer applications than last year</li> <li>□ about the same</li> <li>□ slightly more than last year</li> <li>□ significantly more than last year</li> </ul>
ii.	For what proportion of <b>primary</b> schools in your area did the local authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2023/2024 academic year
	Between 0% and 24% ⊠ Between 25% and 49% □ Between 50% and 74% □ Between 75% and 100% □
iii.	For what proportion of <b>secondary</b> schools in your area did the local authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2023/2024 academic year
	Between 0% and 24% ⊠ Between 25% and 49% □ Between 50% and 74% □ Between 75% and 100% □
admission	ou wish, please provide any comments about how <b>well in-year ns</b> works for children who are <b>not</b> looked after or previously looked after <b>not</b> have SEND:
the notifica	the system works well, although the LA does have some concerns about ation (or lack of) to the LA of unsuccessful applications made to own-authority schools.

v. If you wish, please provide any other comments on the admission of children <b>in-year</b> not previously raised (you may wish to include here any comments about cases where it has not proved possible to find places for children):
Section 3 - Other matters
Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?
Some may argue that In-Year applications should be covered by LAs in the future. We see some value in this provide LAs are resourced appropriately to do so.
Section 4 - Feedback
We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to inform our practice for 2025.
The form is clear, and the data requested is easily identifiable.
Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2024