Date: 24 September 2024

Our ref: 472322

Your ref: 23/P/0664/OUT

max.smith@n-somerset.gov.uk

BY EMAIL ONLY



Hornbeam House Crewe Business Park Electra Way Crewe Cheshire CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

Dear Max Smith

Planning consultation: 23/P/0664/OUT - Outline planning application for the development of up to 190no. homes (including 50% affordable homes) to include flats and semi-detached, detached and terraced houses with a maximum height of 3 storeys at an average density of no more than 20 dwellings per net acre, 0.13ha of land reserved for Class E uses, allotments, car parking, earthworks to facilitate sustainable drainage systems, orchards, open space comprising circa 70% of the gross area including children's play with a minimum of 1no. LEAP and 2no. LAPS, bio-diversity net gain of a minimum of 20% in habitat units and 40% in hedgerow units, and all other ancillary infrastructure and enabling works with means of access from Shiners Elms for consideration. All other matters (means of access from Chescombe Road, internal access, layout, appearance and landscaping) reserved for subsequent approval.

Location: Land To North Of Rectory Farm Chescombe Road Yatton

Thank you for consulting Natural England on the above application. Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

North Somerset and Mendip Bats SAC – No Objection Subject to Securing Mitigation
Natural England notes that the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) has not been produced by the competent authority, but by the applicant (Shadow Habitats Regulations Assessment, Clarkson & Woods, February 2024). It is the responsibility of the competent authority to produce the HRA and be accountable for its conclusions. We provide the advice enclosed on the assumption that the competent authority intends to adopt this HRA.

In response to Natural England's previous comments, further modeling of external lighting has been submitted which now includes security lighting in addition to other external lighting (External and Security Lighting Layout, E3 Consulting Engineers, March 2024). In the absence of modelling of internal light spill a 10m buffer to all houses facing the proposed bat habitat has been excluded from the HEP. On the basis that the HEP has excluded all areas modelled to be above 0.5 lux in submitted light spill modelling and the above buffer zone, the information submitted regarding proposed site lighting is sufficient to demonstrate that it is feasible for the proposed bat habitat to be retained below 0.5 lux. A condition should be attached to any permission given which secures the production of full modelling of the combined effects of internal and external light spill at the reserved matters stage which demonstrates that all proposed bat habitat will be subject to light spill below 0.5 lux.

HEP calculations have been submitted (pages 32 – 36 of the Shadow HRA) which demonstrate that provided all proposed habitat creation and enhancement measures detailed in the Shadow HRA are secured in any permission given the proposed development would not result in a net loss of foraging

habitat for SAC bat species. This relies in part on offsite habitat enhancements on fields to the west of the Strawberry Line due a net loss of greater horseshoe bat habitat on site. The Shadow HRA proposes that the offsite land is managed through a cutting regime, whilst this will provide suitable foraging habitat for horseshoe bats, as noted in Natural England's previous comments grazing is of particular value in providing high quality foraging habitat for horseshoe bats and is therefore a preferable management option for this area of land. The importance of grazing this land has been raised with the applicant's ecologist on a site visit undertaken last year and in Natural England's previous comments on the application, it is therefore disappointing that a grazier has not been found for this area of land to date. We recommend that a condition is attached to any permission given that requires the applicant to explore all available options for grazing this area of land and evidence is provided to demonstrate that efforts have been made to find a grazier, if a grazier if not found within set period of time after commencement (eg. 6 months) then the fall back option of management through a cutting regime should be undertaken.

The 8m set back of the proposed mitigation habitat in the offsite fields from the ditches should be secured in any permission given to prevent shading of the ditches. Similarly, the 5m offset of the proposed woodland on site from the western boundary of the site suggested by the consultant ecologist in their letter dated 28th February 2024 should be secured.

Two potential locations for the proposed night roost have been suggested, the location by the proposed woodland on the western boundary of the site is preferable due to the closer proximity of the structure to the Strawberry Line (an important horseshoe bat commuting corridor, therefore increasing the likelihood the structure will be found and used by horseshoe bats) and the lower levels of public disturbance this area will likely be subject to.

Please refer to Natural England previous comments regarding air quality (dust), CEMP, and LEMP.

Providing that all mitigation measures referenced in the Shadow HRA are appropriately secured in any planning permission given, Natural England advises that we concur with the assessment conclusions that the proposed development would not result in an adverse effect on the integrity of the North Somerset and Mendip Bats SAC.

Please note that if your authority is minded to grant planning permission contrary to the advice in this letter, you are required under Section 28I (6) of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) to notify Natural England of the permission, the terms on which it is proposed to grant it and how, if at all, your authority has taken account of Natural England's advice. You must also allow a further period of 21 days before the operation can commence.

A lack of objection does not mean that there are no significant environmental impacts. Natural England advises that all environmental impacts and opportunities are fully considered and relevant local bodies are consulted.

Further general advice on consideration of protected species and other natural environment issues is provided at Annex A.

Should the proposal change, please consult us again.

Yours sincerely

Amelia Earley Wessex Team

Annex A -Natural England general advice

Protected Landscapes

Paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) (NPPF) requires great weight to be given to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty within Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (known as National Landscapes), National Parks, and the Broads and states that the scale and extent of development within all these areas should be limited. Paragraph 183 requires exceptional circumstances to be demonstrated to justify major development within a designated landscape and sets out criteria which should be applied in considering relevant development proposals. Section 245 of the Levelling-up and Regeneration Act 2023 (legislation.gov.uk) places a duty on relevant authorities (including local planning authorities) to seek to further the statutory purposes of a National Park, the Broads or an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty in England in exercising their functions. This duty also applies to proposals outside the designated area but impacting on its natural beauty.

The local planning authority should carefully consider any impacts on the statutory purposes of protected landscapes and their settings in line with the NPPF, relevant development plan policies and the Section 245 duty. The relevant National Landscape Partnership or Conservation Board may be able to offer advice on the impacts of the proposal on the natural beauty of the area and the aims and objectives of the statutory management plan, as well as environmental enhancement opportunities. Where available, a local Landscape Character Assessment can also be a helpful guide to the landscape's sensitivity to development and its capacity to accommodate proposed development.

Wider landscapes

Paragraph 180 of the NPPF highlights the need to protect and enhance valued landscapes through the planning system. This application may present opportunities to protect and enhance locally valued landscapes, including any local landscape designations. You may want to consider whether any local landscape features or characteristics (such as ponds, woodland, or dry-stone walls) could be incorporated into the development to respond to and enhance local landscape character and distinctiveness, in line with any local landscape character assessments. Where the impacts of development are likely to be significant, a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment should be provided with the proposal to inform decision making. We refer you to the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (GLVIA3) - Landscape Institute for further guidance.

Biodiversity duty

Section 40 of the <u>Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (legislation.gov.uk)</u> places a duty on the local planning authority to conserve and enhance biodiversity as part of its decision making. We refer you to the <u>Complying with the biodiversity duty - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> for further information.

Designated nature conservation sites

Paragraphs 186-188 of the NPPF set out the principles for determining applications impacting on Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and habitats sites (Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs). Both the direct and indirect impacts of the development should be considered.

A Habitats Regulations Assessment is needed where a proposal might affect a habitat site (see <u>Habitats regulations assessments: protecting a European site - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> and Natural England must be consulted on 'appropriate assessments' (see <u>Appropriate assessment - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> for more information for planning authorities).

Natural England must also be consulted where development is in or likely to affect a SSSI and provides advice on potential impacts on SSSIs either via the <u>SSSI Impact Risk Zones (England) (arcgis.com)</u> or as standard or bespoke consultation responses. Section 28G of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 places a duty on all public bodies to take reasonable steps, consistent with the proper exercise of their functions, to further the conservation and enhancement of the features for which an SSSI has been notified (<u>Sites of special scientific interest: public body responsibilities - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u>.

Protected Species

Natural England has produced <u>Protected species and development: advice for local planning authorities</u> (gov.uk) (standing advice) to help planning authorities understand the impact of particular developments

on protected species.

Natural England will only provide bespoke advice on protected species where they form part of a Site of Special Scientific Interest or in exceptional circumstances. A protected species licence may be required in certain cases. We refer you to Wildlife licences: when you need to apply - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) for more information.

Local sites and priority habitats and species

The local planning authority should consider the impacts of the proposed development on any local wildlife or geodiversity site, in line with paragraphs 180, 181 and 185 of the NPPF and any relevant development plan policy. There may also be opportunities to enhance local sites and improve their connectivity to help nature's recovery. Natural England does not hold locally specific information on local sites and recommends further information is obtained from appropriate bodies such as the local environmental records centre, wildlife trust, geoconservation groups or recording societies. Emerging Local nature recovery strategies - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) may also provide further useful information.

Those habitats and species which are of particular importance for nature conservation are included as 'priority habitats and species' in the England Biodiversity List published under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. Most priority habitats will be mapped either as Sites of Special Scientific Interest on the Magic website or as Local Wildlife Sites. We refer you to Habitats and species of principal importance in England - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) for a list of priority habitats and species when applying your 'biodiversity duty' to your policy or decision making

Natural England does not routinely hold priority species data. Such data should be collected when impacts on priority habitats or species are considered likely.

Consideration should also be given to the potential environmental value of brownfield sites, often found in urban areas and former industrial land. We refer you to the <u>Brownfield Hub - Buglife</u> for more information and Natural England's <u>Open Mosaic Habitat (Draft) - data.gov.uk</u> (Open Mosaic Habitat inventory), which can be used as the starting point for detailed brownfield land assessments.

Biodiversity and wider environmental gains

Development should provide net gains for biodiversity in line with the NPPF paragraphs 180(d), 185 and 186. Major development (defined in the <u>National Planning Policy Framework (publishing.service.gov.uk)</u> glossary) is required by law to deliver a biodiversity gain of at least 10% from 12 February 2024 and this requirement is expected to be extended to smaller scale development in spring 2024. For nationally significant infrastructure projects (NSIPs), it is anticipated that the requirement for biodiversity net gain will be implemented from 2025.

For further information on the timetable for mandatory biodiversity net gain, we refer you to <u>Biodiversity Net Gain moves step closer with timetable set out - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u>. <u>Biodiversity net gain - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> provides more information on biodiversity net gain and includes a link to the draft <u>Biodiversity net gain - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> Planning Practice Guidance.

The statutory biodiversity metric should be used to calculate biodiversity losses and gains for terrestrial and intertidal habitats and can be used to inform any development project. We refer you to <u>Calculate biodiversity value with the statutory biodiversity metric - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> for more information. For small development sites, <u>The Small Sites Metric - JP040 (naturalengland.org.uk)</u> may be used. This is a simplified version of the statutory biodiversity metric and is designed for use where certain criteria are met.

The mitigation hierarchy as set out in paragraph 186 of the NPPF should be followed to firstly consider what existing habitats within the site can be retained or enhanced. Where on-site measures are not possible, provision off-site will need to be considered.

Where off-site delivery of biodiversity gain is proposed on a special site designated for nature (e.g. a SSSI or habitats site) prior consent or assent may be required from Natural England. More information is available on <u>Sites of Special Scientific Interest: managing your land</u>

Development also provides opportunities to secure wider biodiversity enhancements and environmental gains, as outlined in the NPPF (paragraphs 8, 74, 108, 124, 180, 181 and 186). Opportunities for enhancement might include incorporating features to support specific species within the design of new buildings such as swift or bat boxes or designing lighting to encourage wildlife.

<u>The Environmental Benefits from Nature Tool - Beta Test Version - JP038 (naturalengland.org.uk)</u> may be used to identify opportunities to enhance wider benefits from nature and to avoid and minimise any negative impacts. It is designed to work alongside the statutory biodiversity metric.

<u>Natural environment - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> provides further information on biodiversity net gain, the mitigation hierarchy and wider environmental net gain.

Ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees

The local planning authority should consider any impacts on ancient woodland and ancient and veteran trees in line with paragraph 186 of the NPPF. The Natural England Access to Evidence - Ancient woodlands Map can help to identify ancient woodland. Natural England and the Forestry Commission have produced Ancient woodland, and veteran trees: advice for making planning decisions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) (standing advice) for planning authorities. It should be considered when determining relevant planning applications. Natural England will only provide bespoke advice on ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees where they form part of a Site of Special Scientific Interest or in exceptional circumstances.

Best and most versatile agricultural land and soils

Local planning authorities are responsible for ensuring that they have sufficient detailed agricultural land classification (ALC) information to apply NPPF policies (Paragraphs 180 and 181). This is the case regardless of whether the proposed development is sufficiently large to consult Natural England. Further information is contained in the <u>Guide to assessing development proposals on agricultural land - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u>. <u>Find open data - data.gov.uk</u> on Agricultural Land Classification or use the information available on MAGIC (defra.gov.uk).

The Defra Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites (publishing.service.gov.uk) provides guidance on soil protection, and we recommend its use in the design and construction of development, including any planning conditions. For mineral working and landfilling, we refer you to Reclaim minerals extraction and landfill sites to agriculture - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk), which

provides guidance on soil protection for site restoration and aftercare. The <u>Soils Guidance</u> (<u>quarrying.org</u>) provides detailed guidance on soil handling for mineral sites.

Should the development proceed, we advise that the developer uses an appropriately experienced soil specialist to advise on, and supervise soil handling, including identifying when soils are dry enough to be handled and how to make the best use of soils on site.

Green Infrastructure

For evidence-based advice and tools on how to design, deliver and manage green and blue infrastructure (GI) we refer you to <u>Green Infrastructure Home (naturalengland.org.uk)</u> (the Green Infrastructure Framework). GI should create and maintain green liveable places that enable people to experience and connect with nature, and that offer everyone, wherever they live, access to good quality parks, greenspaces, recreational, walking and cycling routes that are inclusive, safe, welcoming, well-managed and accessible for all. GI provision should enhance ecological networks, support ecosystems services and connect as a living network at local, regional and national scales.

Development should be designed to meet the 15 <u>GI How Principles (naturalengland.org.uk).</u> The GI Standards can be used to inform the quality, quantity and type of GI to be provided. Major development should have a GI plan including a long-term delivery and management plan. Relevant aspects of local authority GI strategies should be delivered where appropriate.

The <u>Green Infrastructure Map (naturalengland.org.uk)</u> and <u>GI Mapping Analysis (naturalengland.org.uk)</u> are GI mapping resources that can be used to help assess deficiencies in greenspace provision and identify priority locations for new GI provision.

Access and Recreation

Natural England encourages any proposal to incorporate measures to help improve people's access to the natural environment. Measures such as reinstating existing footpaths, together with the creation of new footpaths and bridleways should be considered. Links to urban fringe areas should also be explored to strengthen access networks, reduce fragmentation, and promote wider green infrastructure.

Rights of Way, Access land, Coastal access and National Trails

Paragraphs 104 and 180 of the NPPF highlight the important of public rights of way and access. Development should consider potential impacts on access land, common land, rights of way and coastal access routes in the vicinity of the development. Consideration should also be given to the potential impacts on the any nearby National Trails. We refer you to Find your perfect trail, and discover the land of myths and legend - National Trails for information including contact details for the National Trail Officer. Appropriate mitigation measures should be incorporated for any adverse impacts.

Further information is set out in the Planning Practice Guidance on the <u>Natural environment - GOV.UK</u> (www.gov.uk).